Case 1:15-cr-00095-WFK Document 436 Filed 12/05/19 Page 1 of 33 PageID #: 3166

materials have been pulled together and the parties have agreed as to what should go in; is that correct?

MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, that's correct with one

23

if you wouldn't mind of the transcripts, although I know

THE COURT: Why don't you refer to the page numbers

23

24

25

Mr. Habibov's testimony.

1 sometimes they go back to page 1 on a different transcript 2 date so it can be confusing. So try to identify it in a way 3 that's somewhat unique either Habibov pages 1 through X or 4 however you want to do. 5 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, what I thought we might do if it's okay with the Court is because the pages skip around 6 7 and there are some redactions that the parties applied, we can 8 provide a copy of each transcript to the Court and we can mark 9 them as court exhibits. 10 THE COURT: They are responsive to which request? 11 MR. KESSLER: The jury requested the full testimony 12 of Mr. Habibov. 13 THE COURT: I'm sorry, which number from the jury. 14 MR. KESSLER: Six. 15 THE COURT: Why don't we refer to these, the 16 transcripts, as something like six, the Court 6AA and 17 Court 6BB. There are two transcripts? 18 MR. KESSLER: That's correct. 19 THE COURT: Is that acceptable to defense counsel? 20 MS. SHARKEY: Yes. 21 THE COURT: So the record is clear, so 6AA is what, 22 counsel? 23 MR. KESSLER: 6AA will be the transcript of 24 Habibov's testimony.

That's fine.

6BB, Court 6BB.

Okay.

THE COURT:

Case 1:15-cr-00095-WEK Document 436 Filed 12/05/19 Page 6 of 33 PageID #: 3171

25

upon items.

1 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, as the Court may recall, 2 one of the items in note six was, and I quote, the photographs 3 of Saidakhmetov, CHS, and Kasimov at the airport. So the parties have agreed to send back the several photographs that 4 5 actually include those three people. 6 I refer to those, just colloquially, as THE COURT: 7 the either approaching or at the ticket counter photographs. MR. KESSLER: Exactly. The ticket counter 8 photographs. In addition, mindful of the Court's guidance 9 10 about trying to be expansive --11 THE COURT: Especially since everything is in 12 evidence that we're talking about that's for my friends on the 13 17th floor. Go ahead. 14 MR. KESSLER: Exactly. We also agreed to send back 15 the -- there is a photograph of just Saidakhmetov and the CHS 16 where Saidakhmetov is wearing a green hoodie and we also sent 17 a photo of the kind of the broader photo of the ticket counter 18 area. 19 THE COURT: Right. 20 MR. KESSLER: So all of those the parties have 21 agreed and have been provided to the jury. 22 What do you not agree on? THE COURT: 23 MR. KESSLER: The disagreement is that the defense 24 is reading a request for photographs as also including all of

the video clips at the airport and, you know, the government

1	objects to that.
2	THE COURT: Why? Are they in evidence?
3	MR. KESSLER: They are in evidence.
4	THE COURT: So why do you object to them going into
5	the jury room. If the jury doesn't want to see them they
6	won't look at them. One thing about the jury is they're very
7	smart. If they send out a note and we send in the video clips
8	and they didn't want to see them, they'll say that's nice,
9	that moron judge sent back the video clips.
10	
	On the other hand, if they want to see the video
11	clips and I don't send them back in they're going to say
12	what's the matter with that judge, we asked for the pictures.
13	So why not send in the video clips.
14	MR. KESSLER: Because I think we're trying to be
15	helpful to the jury and provide them with what they're asking
16	for.
17	THE COURT: So do I. What else?
18	MR. KESSLER: That's it, Your Honor. I think our
19	point
20	THE COURT: Okay, well, then they're going back.
21	Now the question is do we need to have the techy,
22	the Court techies show the jurors how to work what I refer to
23	as the dumb video machine.
24	MR. KESSLER: Well, Your Honor, I think if the
25	jurors want to watch the video clips someone may well have to

1 help them. 2 THE COURT: Here's what we'll do. We will send back 3 the video clips with a note from the Court saying attached are the video clips from the airport or however you want to 4 5 characterize it, ticket counter, if the jurors were requesting this, here they are and if the jurors need assistance in 6 7 turning on the video machine we will have a court techy --8 just send out a note to say you want to watch it and we'll 9 have a court techy come in and turn it on for you. 10 On the other hand, if this isn't what you wanted, no 11 harm, no foul, just go ahead and look at what we already sent 12 in. 13 Does that make sense? 14 MS. SHARKEY: Perfect. 15 MR. RUBERT-SCHEWEL: That does, Your Honor --16 MR. KESSLER: Your honor --17 MR. RUBERT-SCHEWEL: -- I'll mention one more thing 18 and it's that the version we have of Government Exhibit 15, 19 which is the JFK Airport video is password protected. So if 20 that's the version going in we would ask the password be 21 included. 22 THE COURT: Of course. I actually may have shown 23 I'm not a complete non-technical guy the other day by 24 mentioning that perhaps some of these disk were, I think term

I used was password protected, although I have no idea what

1 that means. The only password I ever used was rosebud and I 2 can go into my bank account and steal everything until there's 3 nothing left. 4 MR. KESSLER: We wrote back and we wrote the 5 password on the envelope that has Government Exhibit 15B 6 already. 7 THE COURT: I'll ask my law clerks to prepare a note 8 that says Court Exhibit -- this will be 10 I guess, Court 10. 9 MR. KESSLER: The note would be Court 10. 10 THE COURT: I think the note should be Court 10 and 11 we will refer to the disk as Court 10A. And the note 12 essentially will say -- in the form of an order that obviously 13 attached is the computer disk that contains -- how should we 14 describe what it contains? 15 (Court Exhibit 10, was received in evidence.) 16 (Court Exhibit 10A, was received in evidence.) 17 MR. KESSLER: I think --18 MR. RUBERT-SCHEWEL: Video clips from JFK Airport. 19 THE COURT: The video clips from JFK Airport. 20 MR. KESSLER: Yes. 21 THE COURT: If the jury wishes to examine these 22 clips, please send out a note indicating you wish to examine 23 them and we will have a court technician come in and prepare 24 for your ability to review it in the jury room. If you don't

wish to see it, that's fine too.

going in as well since they are in evidence?

23

24

25

MS. MACEDONIO: Yes, Your Honor. The reason is the jury's note specifically states, the text messages stated in stipulations, one, those are not in the stipulation and, two,

MR. KESSLER: 43B as in boy.

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: 43B. That can be given -- Michael, would you take it to the CSO and he'll give it into jurors, okay. Thank you.

We're having the order prepared that will accompany the computer disk.

THE COURT: Showing my considerable ignorance in this area, among other areas, the Court advises the jury it's been provided with a computer disk containing video clips from John F. Kennedy International Airport marked as Government Exhibit 15. If the jury wishes to examine these clips, please send a note to the Court requesting technical support to

22

23

24

examine them. The Court will then send a technician to the jury room to provide support. So ordered.

Any objection to that order going in other than what's been previously been stated?

MR. KESSLER: No.

MR. RUBERT-SCHEWEL: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm signing it and sending it in to the jury room and you will have the backslash version. Court 10.

Thank you. One for the court reporter.

I would just note for the record, but I would like to have it affirmatively stated if this the case, by defense counsel that these ministerial responses so far to the jury request do not require the presence of the defendant and that you waive it for the purposes up to the moment. If anything, comes up that you require his presence, so by all means let the Court know and we will make him available, but so far you haven't had any problem proceeding without the presence of the defendant, I take it? You've waived.

MS. MACEDONIO: Thus far, yes, that's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's fine. Just let us know if you feel that you need to have him here to advise and consent with respect to any of the responses.

MS. MACEDONIO: We will. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. I take it the government is

1 comfortable with that approach.

2 MR. KESSLER: Yes?

3 (Pause in proceedings.)

THE COURT: This will be note 11. Would you sticker it please, let's make copies and back on the record.

Let me read it to the lawyers first, then we'll make the copies. Court 11 reads as follows, and I'm going to make copies and you'll have it in front of you, but I wanted you to be thinking about its contents.

Court 11 reads as follows: Dear Judge Kuntz, we, the jury, would like to ask you to provide further clarification on the second element of the first charge of the attempt to provide material support to a foreign terrorist organization. Could you kindly elaborate on how we should interpret, quote, provided support to a foreign terrorist organization. Respectfully yours, juror number seven.

So I'm going to ask my law clerks to make the requisite copies of Court 11 and I'm going to ask counsel for their suggested guidance with respect to the appropriate response to the request that I've just read out loud to you and that you will have copies of in a moment.

We'll begin with the government, what is your suggested response, then I'll hear from defense counsel as to your suggested response. First the government.

MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, we certainly have no

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

objection to providing more guidance to the jury on the legal questions, would it be all right if we took a minute to consult the jury charge?

THE COURT: Take as many minutes as you want. Let me ask defense counsel for your initial response and you can have as long as you like to guide the Court by way of a suggested response as well.

MS. SHARKEY: Your Honor, we're also rereading the charge and can give you a more informed answer in a couple of minutes.

That's absolutely fine. Just so you THE COURT: know in the past what I've intended to do in the response to similar notes is to respectfully refer the jury to pages X through Y and lines one through infinity of the jury charge. You will recall that they have a copy, just one copy of the complete jury charge with them in the jury room and occasionally by focusing their attention through the responsive note to particular pages and lines with the agreement of counsel or by order of the Court if counsel don't agree on the pages and lines of the charge to call their attention to, that has generally proven sufficient. What I've not attempted to do was to suddenly morph into Thurgood or John Marshall or Oliver Wendell Holmes or Sandra Day O'Connor and suddenly come up with a brilliant rearticulation of the what the statute provides. Go ahead.

suggested approach?

MS. SHARKEY: We disagree, Your Honor. We think that the Court should refer them to page 40 where it — through 41 describing the second element. I guess just focus them in on those pages. In the event the Court is going to adopt the government's request, then we believe that the Court should also read the third element, direct them to the third element.

THE COURT: What is the government's response to that suggestion?

MR. KESSLER: I think it's unnecessary. I mean everyone agrees the focus of the jury's request is the second instruction. The jury seems to have quoted specifically from the Court's heading -- I'm sorry, the jury seems to have quoted specifically from the heading in the second instruction, so our only point was that adding the first instruction eliminates a doubt about what material support means. The third instruction is about knowing and intentional, which is not referenced in the second instruction at all. We could also just read the second one and then if they have further questions about the definition of material support read the first one or direct them to the first one afterwards.

THE COURT: What is your response to the government's response to your response?

1 MS. SHARKEY: I'm losing track of my responses. 2 think the Court should just direct them to the second element 3 since we're both in agreement that that's the most responsive. All right. Having heard the arguments 4 THE COURT: 5 of counsel, what I'm going to do is to respectfully refer the jury -- and I'll put this in the form of a written order and 6 7 your objections to my doing this are noted and preserved for 8 the record -- that essentially will say in response to Court Exhibit Number 11, it will be order number 11A, Court A, the 9 10 Court respectfully refers the jury to review pages 38 11 through -- what was defense counsel's suggestion on this? 12 That we read through --MS. SHARKEY: 13 THE COURT: In terms of pages? 14 MS. SHARKEY: Oh, I'm sorry. 15 THE COURT: Through the fourth element or --16 MS. SHARKEY: Yes, through the bottom of 43 -- I'm 17 Judge, we'd ask that if you're going to read the first 18 element that you direct them to read through elements one, two 19 and three, so therefore our request is that you read from 20 page 38 through page --21 THE COURT: Forty-three it seems; is that right? 22 MS. SHARKEY: Yes, sir. 23 THE COURT: So I'm going to do that. I'm going to 24 send in an order that respectfully refers them to consider the 25 contents of pages 38 through 43 as most responsive to their

William F. Kuntz, II, United States District Judge.

In response to the jury's note, which has been marked as

Exhibit 11, the jury note.

23

24

(Pause in proceedings.)

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Do we have every one present?

We need the defendant for this one. We have Court

Exhibit 2A and we'll get the defendant up as well.

I want to read Court Exhibit 2A, which says: verdict reached. Judge Kuntz, we, the jury, have reached a

GEORGETTE K. BETTS, RPR, FCRR, CCR Official Court Reporter

I want to make sure we have everyone.

the jury, thank you very much. Is someone missing?

THE JURY: The alternates.

THE COURT:

23

24

counts, Counts One and Count Two, is that your verdict?

THE COURT: Juror Number Three, with respect to both

JUROR NUMBER TWO: Yes, it is.

23

24

THE COURT: Juror Number 12, with respect to both counts, Count One and Count Two, is that your verdict?

JUROR NUMBER TWELVE: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Now, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, the Court wants to thank you and I will also call in the alternates to thank them as well for their service. You have absolutely, absolutely confirmed the faith that the lawyers, the parties and the Court have in the jury system. We all know that you had other places to be, other things to do in your regular lives. We know what an imposition it has been to take essentially two weeks from those lives to come here and to provide this service, but this is really what makes us a free society. The ability of everyone to participate in our justice system. The parties, the defendant, plaintiffs, all the lawyers are so incredibly grateful to you for your distinguished service here.

I know this was hard. I know it was detailed. I could tell by the notes you sent out and by your deliberations and your attention throughout the course of the trial how attentive you were and how true you were to the rule of law. This is our system. This is what makes our democratic republic strong. I could not be more proud of you because of the time and the attention and the care you've devoted to this decision. All the parties, defendant, prosecution, the

lawyers, the Court, Court Security Officers, the Marshals, all of us, all of us are grateful to you for your service. We commend you for it and we thank you for it, not just this Judge and this Court, but all of the Judges of the Eastern District of New York and all of the federal judges.

230 years ago today President George Washington signed the Judiciary Act that created the Federal Judiciary 230 years ago today, and you are part of that noble tradition that keeps men and women free.

So I want to thank you, God bless you. You are discharged.

The Court Security Officers and the Marshals will take you through the process to retrieve your cell phones and other personal belongings and we will certainly, certainly always value the service that you have rendered to us as citizens. We could not do this without you.

So God bless you and God bless your service. God bless your families and I thank you. I thank each and every one of you.

With that you are discharged. Thank you very much.

(Jury exits courtroom.)

THE COURT: You may be seated, ladies and gentlemen.

I'm going to have the alternate jurors brought in to give them
a brief thanks. As you know, they were kept in an ancillary
separate room. I want to thank them and then after that we

will excuse the alternates and then we will have motions as is appropriate from the parties and any other procedural matters. And of course, I will make copies of the verdict sheet for counsel since it is part of the record as well.

Want to do that now.

(Alternate jurors enter the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Please rise.

I wanted to thank you alternates personally as well. You were full jurors in this case and even though you were not in the room with the other 12 deliberating, I wanted to thank you for your service and for your attention. Had any one or any four of those 12 jurors not been able to complete their service you were ready, willing and able to step in to their shoes.

I know this has been an incredible burden for you, taking you away, in effect, for two full weeks from your daily lives, but I wanted you to know that you have the complete thanks of all the parties of the defendant, prosecutors, the United States of America, the public for your dedicated service in being here.

You were attentive throughout the course of this trial. You were locked in throughout the course of this trial and this is really what makes our country great. I said to the 12 who were just here and I'll say this to you, 230 years ago today President George Washington signed the Federal

Judiciary Act creating the Federal Judiciary, 230 years ago today. This system doesn't work without your involvement.

We are a democratic republic not because of the judges and the lawyers but because of you, because of you as citizens who come and devote your time and your attention to sitting and listening and being ready to render judgment in cases both civil and criminal that come before us in the federal courts.

I'm really humbled by your service and honored to be the judge to have tried this case with you and all the lawyers and all the parties. Thank you. And all of the judges of the Eastern District, not just this judge, but all of the judges of the Eastern District and all of the judges of this great country thank you for your service. I wanted to tell you that directly so you would have no doubt about it. You're every bit as valuable as any juror who has ever sat here. I want to thank you for that.

Your service is now concluded. You may go back through the Marshal Service and the Court Security Officers and we will have you retrieve your cell phones and then you will be taken back with the complete, complete thanks of this court and all the lawyers and parties.

So with that please, you're discharged. Thank you so much.

Thank you again, ladies and gentlemen.

briefing schedule which we will put in a written order on ECF, but I thought you should have the dates now so you could address your calendars.

Go ahead, Mr. Jackson.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Defense counsel shall serve and file their motion on or before October 25th.

The government shall serve the responsive brief on or before November 22nd.

And the defense counsel shall serve their reply brief on or before December 13th.

THE COURT: And again these are all 2019.

I want to thank counsel on both sides. I have tried many cases both as a lawyer and now as a judge and I am very grateful for the professionalism and the attention that you have brought to this case, a case that was difficult for both sides.

I want to say this and I want to say this as a personal matter, I became a judge on October 3rd. I was approved by all 100 United States Senators because you couldn't get much from me in a trade of a vote. On October 4th I received my certificate of appointment from the President of the United States and the Attorney General and on October 5th of 2011 I was sworn in by then Chief Judge Amon. And on October 5th I breathed a sigh of relief not only because I had the dream job of a lifetime for any litigator,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

but because I was relieved I would never again have to try cases against lawyers as good as you folks where inevitably I would have to go home and explain to my clients and to my wife and children why I lost.

You are truly superb lawyers, truly superb advocates and you did this case with force and vigor and elegance and attention to the rule of law. I'd like to be able to bottle the quality of the lawyering and the collegiality and the professionalism as you were absolutely tenacious in holding forth on behalf of your clients. Because it is the best of what it means to be an Article III Judge and to have such great lawyers before me. And I know as disappointing as this must be for the defendant, and I understand that, you were superbly represented and I said earlier on that you had two of the best lawyers I'd ever seen at the defense bar and I had to amend that because it is now three. Welcome to the big leagues, kid. You're as good as your colleagues, and they are terrific. And I've got to tell you, I've got to tell you, this was an amazing case and a master class both on the prosecution side and the defense side of what a case should be like in federal court with so much, so much on the line for both parties.

It is truly an honor and it's truly humbling to have this position, but it is made possible by the quality of the lawyering that was done here. I'm really deeply moved and I'm